

# Active transport

## Method

### Short disclosure statement

Panel provider	Dynata
Research company	The Australia Institute
Client commissioning the research	NA
Fieldwork dates	10 July 2024 to 16 July 2024
Mode of data collection	Online recruited from research panel
Target population	Australian adults aged 18+
Sample size	1,014. A further 498 South Australians were sampled to produce more precise results, but weighting was used to ensure that people from the state were not over-represented in national results.
Australian Polling Council compliant	Yes
Voting intention published	No
Long disclosure statement	See below

### Long disclosure statement

Effective sample size after weighting applied	1,031
Margin of error associated with effective sample size	±3%
Variables used in weighting	Age, gender, and state/territory based on Australian Bureau of Statistics "National, state and territory population" data
Gender identity categorisation	Those who answered the gender identity question as "Non-binary", "I use a different term", or "Prefer not to answer" had their responses included with females for the purpose of reporting, due to constraints from weighting data availability
Weighting method used	Raking method
Full question text, responses categories and randomisation	See below
Source of online sample	Dynata's online panel
Positioning of voting intention questions in questionnaire	Immediately after demographics, before policy questions. Lower house voting intention was asked first, followed by upper house voting intention.
How were undecided voters handled?	Respondents who answered "Don't know / Not sure" for voting intention were then asked a leaning question; these leanings are included in voting intention crosstabs
Method of calculating 2PP	NA
Voting intention categorisation	Voting crosstabs show voting intentions for the House of Representatives. "Coalition" includes separate responses for Liberal and National. "Other" refers to Independent/Other, and minor parties in cases where they were included in the voting intention but represent too small a sample to be reported separately in the crosstabs
Location results	Results are shown only for larger states



## Detailed results

No preceding questions in the poll are expected to have influenced the results of the questions published here.

**To what extent would you support or oppose a government rebate to reduce the cost of purchasing bicycles, including e-bikes?**

- Strongly support
- Support
- Oppose
- Strongly oppose
- Don't know / Not sure

**To what extent would you support or oppose extending current subsidies and tax incentives for electric vehicles to apply to e-bikes as well?**

- Strongly support
- Support
- Oppose
- Strongly oppose
- Don't know / Not sure

**In some countries, employers give their staff an allowance if they ride a bike or e-bike to and from work based on the number of kilometres they commute. To encourage more cycle use, governments in the Netherlands, Belgium, and France make this allowance tax-free.**

**To what extent would you support or oppose making this kind of bike allowance tax-free in Australia?**

- Strongly support
- Support
- Oppose
- Strongly oppose
- Don't know / Not sure

**In Finland, people who trade in an old petrol, diesel or gas car receive up to \$3,000 towards the cost of an electric car or e-bike, or towards the cost of public transport tickets.**

**To what extent would you support or oppose a similar scheme being introduced in Australia?**

- Strongly support
- Support
- Oppose
- Strongly oppose
- Don't know / Not sure

**To what extent would you support or oppose increasing the amount of footpaths and cycleways in your local area?**

- Strongly support
- Support
- Oppose
- Strongly oppose
- Don't know / Not sure